

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,046	07/29/2003	Robert B. Karnes	64314-00003USPT	9281
30223	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.			BIDWELL, JAMES R	
225 WEST W. SUITE 2600	ASHINGTON		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3651	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·&C	j			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(X		
0		10/631,046	KARNES, ROBERT B.	-/		
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James R Bidwell	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will appty and will expire SIX (6) May to cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicati ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status						
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F					
	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	·	IS		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-19,21-23,25-27,29-35 and 38-44</u> is.	are pending in the appli	cation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16,29-35 and 38-44</u> is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>17-19,22,23 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected	d.				
	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	·				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		o by the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · ·			
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•	nninnitu under 25 II C.C	\$ 110(a) (d) az (9			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 35 0.5.C	. 9 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).			
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · — —			
	application from the International Burea	=	m received in this Hatierian Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.			
		•				
Attachmen		_				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
6. Patent and T	rademark Office	rtion Summary O	Port of Describe (Mary Date 2005)	210		

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 17, 19, 22, 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Savolainen et al. (U.S. Patent 4,636,181).

Savolainen et al. show a chain 1 having a first link with a male end with bosses 5 and 6 and a second link with a female end 2 which receives the bosses and pivots about them. The boss of the female end 2 extends parallel to the boss of the male end.

Re claim 19, 2 can be considered a track contact member which is around the bosses.

Re claim 22, the bosses are of a predetermined size and the female boss rotates about the male boss. The female boss is also perpendicular to a plane in which rotation occurs.

Re claim 23, the chain is molded and the fibers may run in the claimed direction.

Re claim 25, Figure 5 shows I-shaped sections.

Re claim 26, see ribs 3 and 4.

Re claim 27, the ribs extend away from the bosses.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savolainen et al. in view of Christmas (U.S. Patent 6,321,523).

Savolainen et al. do not show the use of a sleeve bearing. However, shown by Christmas is the use of a sleeve bearing 6. To use such on Savolainen et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of this teaching as the use of bearings in chains is extremely well know and conventional.

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-16, 29-35 and 38-44 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 17 is an extremely broad claim that only requires two bosses that are parallel to one another with one of them rotating about the other. This is shown by the applied reference with its two parallel bosses with one rotating about the other.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3651

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James R Bidwell at telephone number (703)308-1144.

JRB

09-30-2004

AMES R. BIDWELL 3/10/05
PRIMARY EXAMINER